REVEN			RELAT ED PARTIE S
Revenue (Service charges): Prior year uncorrected misstatements (EX.16)	Accumulated surplus: Differences identified (EX.97)	Accumulated surplus: Journal discrepancies on the accumulated surplus account (EX.116)	Related parties: There are no formal documented processes in place to identify related parties (EX.21)
Slow response by management to correct the issues identified in the previous financial year	The above mentioned resulted due to management not performing and adequate review of the financial statements to ensure that they are free from misstatements before submission for audit purposes.	Management did not perform and adequate review of the financial statements to ensure that they are free from misstatements.	Lack of management oversight over disclosures in the financial statements to ensure it is accurate and correct
Correction journals to be prepared and captured by 28 February 2016.	Prior to AFS being submitted to AG, a high level review will be performed to ensure that all discolures are correctly disclosed	Prior to processing the journals on the GL,a working paper accompanied by the relevant support and a journal form will be reviewd to ensure that correct journals are passed	Prior to AFS being submitted to AG, a high level review will be performed to ensure that all discolures are correctly disclosed Processes will be developed and documented after coomunicate with Tswelopele Mun. Mugelane to do follow up
31-May-16			
Finance	Finance	Finance	Finance
In Progress			

Revenue (Grants) - Non- compliance with DORA (EX. 170)	Revenue - Service charges no meter readings for water and electricity (EX.73)	Revenue: Distribution losses not calculated and disclosed (EX.171)
The cause of the above is that management did not implement controls to ensure compliance with laws and regulation	The cause of the above is that the municipality have not fixed the water or electricity meter of customer accounts that were not in a working condition.	(a) A proper review was not done of the financial statements to ensure that all the required disclosures are made in the financial statements (b) Management did not prioritise controls and actions that would reduce electricity and water losses and there is lack of oversight responsibility on compliance with MFMA.
Management disagrees with the finding as COGTA has never raised non-compliance matter with the municipality with regard to MSIG, however all monthly reports for MSIG were submitted. AG didn't provide us with	Investigations on relevant accounts to be performed and where applicable correction journals to be prepared and captured by 28 February 2016. Technical to identify all the meters that can be repaired and the cost implications so that they can be prioritised. Mr Malatji and team. Completion:	Investigations on relevant accounts to be performed and where applicable correction journals to be prepared and captured by 28 February 2016.
Achieved	31-Mar-16	28-Feb-16
Finance	Finance	Finance
Achieved	In Progress	In Progress

Revenue - Completeness of the valuation roll (EX.41)	Revenue - Accounts not cleared before the transfer of property (EX.40)	Revenue - Assessment rates not charged on all property (EX.39)	
Management did not compare the valuation roll and the transfer of property deeds to ensure that the valuation roll	The cause of the above is that the municipality do not create new accounts for new property owners Proper controls are not in place to ensure that old debt is settled before transfer of a property. The impact of the above are: Old debt is not settled before transfer of property which will result in financial losses for the municipality New property owners are charged with interest and accounts of previous owners.	The cause of the above is that the accounting system have not been compared and updated with the property deeds and the valuation roll.	
The identified properties will be sent to the municipal valuer to be included on the supplementary valuation roll.	This audit finding was resolved.	This audit finding was resolved.	evidence indicating non compliance.
28-Feb-16	Achieved	Achieved	
Finance	Finance	Finance	
In Progress	Achieved	Achieved	

	I		
Revenue - Property register not updated annually (supplementary valuation roll) (EX.89)	Revenue: Prior year - Service not charged to Erf (EX.74)	Revenue - Interest incorrectly charged on outstanding debtors (EX.57)	
The above mentioned resulted due to management not implementing recommendations made by the auditors in the previous financial year.	The above mentioned resulted due to management not reconciling the valuation roll of the municipality to the debtor accounts opened on the system (Pastel evolution).	The above mentioned resulted due to the amount of interest charged on the accounting system not being charged accurately.	is complete.
The approved valuation roll was implemented as from 01 July 2015. The next Supplementary valuation roll will be finalised by 28 February 2016	The correction on the debtor account was billed from last month and necessary adjustments will be made	Management disagrees with AG's findings on the recalculation of interest charged on outstanding accounts. AG based the recalculation on the compound interest, whereas the municipality charges the simple interest at prime rate in line with the banking institutions. The prime interest rate differed throughout the financial year. Investigations were conducted and an agreement with the AG. This matter is resolved.	
31-Mar-16	28-Feb-16	Achieved	
Finance	Finance	Finance	
In Progress	In Progress	Achieved	

	GENER AL AND IT CONTR OL	TAXES
Control environment: IT related information requested that has not been submitted as per request 6 of 2015 (EX.6)	ICT: Information Technology (IT) - Controls not in place (EX.59)	AT: reconciliation not approved and Vat returns not submitted in time (EX.150)
Failure by management to maintain an effective record keeping system to ensure that complete, relevant and accurate information is accessible and available for audit.	The lack of a dedicated IT security officer/system administrator to ensure the implementation of controls measures over financial IT systems.	The cause of the above is due to a lack of management oversight, as management did not put controls in place: a) To ensure reconciliation are appropriately reviewed and approved b) To ensure that VAT returns are submitted in time.
Manager ICT to advice on an effective records keeping system Meeting to be conducted with department next week.	IT Security Officer's duties will be delegated to the ICT Coordinator until the municipality is able to have a dedicated IT Security Officer	Ensure all reconcilations are reviewed and signed before submission
		Monthly
П	∃	Finance
The Change management policy is being implemented, Backup register is being updated, a suitable location for the storage of the backup will be finalised	Functions and responsibilities of an IT Security Officer are currently being developed	All months of 2015/16 to December 2015 are signed

			CONTR OL ENVIRO NMENT	
Internal audit - Deficiencies found in the internal audit working papers (EX.12)			Audit Committee: Audit committee deficiencies (EX.18)	
Lack of oversight responsibility on the work performed by the internal		regulation.	The audit committee/performance audit committee did not prioritize the implementation of the compliance with laws and	
(1)Internal Audit disagreed with the finding:	(4) Audit and Performance Committee to meet at least Four (04) times a year to comply with section 166 of MFMA No.56 of 2003.	(3) Council will be provided with the evaluation matrix tool to evaluate performance of Audit Committee.	(2) Audit and Performance Committee will ensure that reports are tabled before council on a regular basis as to comply with section 166 of MFMA No. 56 of 2003.	(1) The independency of Internal Audit will be maintained as all Adhoc reviews will be approved by Audit Committee. Management will only issue out a request not an instruction to Internal Audit to perform adhoc reviews.
There is no specific	30 June 2016	30 March 2016	30 June 2016	30 June 2016
Internal Audit			Internal Audit	
(a) Annual Risk Based Internal	Committee had three meetings for 2015/16 financial year: 26 August 2016; 29 September 2016; 30 November 2016.	workshop councilors thereon is still underway.	(3) Evaluation Matrix tool is readily available @ Internal Audit section. Appointment to	(1) No Adhoc requests were made by management. (2) Audit Committee Report will be tabled before Council as at 22 January 2016.

																																					auditors.
Audit does not make use of Audit	papers was provided lateral	extended scope All working	The review was performed with as	(2.2) Disagreed with the finding:		to Auditor General for audit.	working paper that was submitted	accumented in the execution	direction of testing were clearly	The population, sample and	issued from time to time.	including its practice guides	Internal Auditing South Africa,	Audit is guided by the Institute of	Auditor General does. Internal	Regulatory Board for Auditors) like	not guided by IRBA (Independent	General does. Internal Audit is	Auditing (ISA's) like Auditor	International Standards on	functions/audits in terms of the	time. It does not conduct its	practice notes issued from time to	Africa, IPPF standards and	Institute of Internal Auditing South	Internal Audit is guided by the		2.1 Disagreed with the finding:		risk based internal audit plan.	on the implementation of annual	management and audit committee	More reports will be tabled to	committee on: 26 August 2016.	plan was tabled before audit	annual risk based internal audit	Report on the implementation of
																								or not.	internal audit	work of	make use of	whether to	decide	process to	next audit	General in the	Auditor	submitted to	will be	Internal Audit	date. Work of
														7																							
(a) Andit of	2015.	November	on: 30	and approved	were developed	Methodology	and	Audit Charter	(d) Internal		components	audit	with assigned	to audit team	communicated	and	was compiled	Audit Budget	(c) Internal		November 2015	at: 30	Committee as	before Audit	presented	1st quarter was	audit plan for	based internal	of annual risk	implementation	the	(b) Report on		approved.	developed and	year was	2015/16 finncial

reconciliations were not done.	against andit criteria addit	performance by internal and:	reconciliations At the time of	The risk on expenditure was more	effectiveness of internal controls.	Audit is testing the adequacy and	Audit and External Audit. Internal	differentiate between Internal	Auditor General should		(2.3) Disagreed with the finding:		Auditor General.	NERSA tarifffs was given to	w/p on compliance testing on	accuracy thereof. The execution	tested the compliance and	transactions for revenue and	Internal Audit has selected 30		the w/p.	Assertion is clearly indicated in	re-asses the w/p on compliance.	testing. Auditor General should	working paper on compliance	compliance testing. Refer to the	tariff issue is also mentioned in	is also tested in there, NERSA	the compliance testing. Revenue	selected for audit is included in	The number of transactions		to Auditor General.	revenue were attached and sent	hyperlinked. Procedures on	where every link would be easily	Software to conduct the audits
way.	are still under	arragements	Necessary	Internal Audit.	use of work of	that it can make	Internal Audit so	of testing by	as to the extent	Auditor General	will be held with	Communication	9	(Sampling)	(iii) Revenue	(100% testing)	Management	Chain	(ii) Supply	(Sampling)	Objectives	Predetermined	(i)		quarter:	the 2nd	in progress for	audits are still	The following		reporting stage.	is Currently at	performed and	2015/16 was	first quarter of	Objectives for	Predetermined

Auditor General is saying there are no procedures and management comments.	All the working papers were submitted to Auditor General for audit. The procedures, report and management comments were all submitted to Auditor General.	(2.4) Disagreed with the finding:	with procedures be copied and be thoroughly assessed.	correct working papers, Therefore it is requested that the correct w/n	Auditor General did not copy the	will audit are accurate and	the figures that external auditors	mainly on controls such as	expenditure not on the figures, it is	note that Internal Audit focus on	working and effective to detect	test if the control is adequate,	population of reconciliation and	not effective and working. Internal Audit would have indicated the	expenditure management were	though the controls over	continued with the testing even	should stop testing. We have	has gone beyond the principle that	testing. However, internal audit	working auditors should stop

3	the	ch	be	<u>w</u> .	, 0	ris	ta	ch	÷ 5	· as	te	G	Ą	ā.	W	5	c	O.	ju	<u> </u>	Ω	SI	=	D	<u> </u>	~		3	0	0	× (· -	-
	the conclusion.	checklist is sufficient to come to	believes that observation and a	is nothing wrong if internal audit	"OBSERVATION" therefore there	risk assessment procedures is	take into account that one of the	checklist. Auditor General should	inventory and that was done	assessing the controls over	test of detail. Internal Audit is	General is doing a substantive	Auditor General is testing. Auditor	inventory the same way that the	would to a certain extent not test	the conclusion. Internal Audit	checklist was sufficient to come to	observation and inventory	judgment made by Internal Audit,	knowledge and professional	controls in place or not. To the	sufficient to test whether there are	the inventory checklist is not	Auditor General should clarify if	General together with the report.	was also submitted to Auditor	plan per se. Inventory checklist	not form part of the internal andit	by Internal Audit. Inventory does	observation that was undertaken	was generated based on the	Inis matter has already been	